

To: Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;CN=Stephanie Skophammer/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;Bruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;Tim Vendlinski/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;CN=Valentina Cabrera-Stagno/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; om Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;CN=Stephanie Skophammer/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;Bruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;Tim Vendlinski/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;CN=Valentina Cabrera-Stagno/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Stephanie Skophammer/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;Bruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;Tim Vendlinski/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;CN=Valentina Cabrera-Stagno/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; ruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;Tim Vendlinski/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;CN=Valentina Cabrera-Stagno/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; im Vendlinski/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;CN=Valentina Cabrera-Stagno/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Valentina Cabrera-Stagno/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
Cc: Sam Ziegler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: CN=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Mon 6/18/2012 4:00:47 PM
Subject: Fw: Panel Releases Review of Draft BDCP Effects Analysis Phase 2

[here](#)

[here](#)

[View it in your browser](#)

[Unsubscribe](#)

[\(embedded image\)](#)

[\(embedded image\)](#)

[\(embedded image\)](#)

[\(embedded image\)](#)

[\(embedded image\)](#)

[\(embedded image\)](#)

[\(embedded image\)](#)

[\(embedded image\)](#)

FYI -- bits from the executive summary below. I recommend reading the v. short executive summary available here.

"the Panel could only partially complete Phase 2 because appendices 5.A: Climate Change Implications for Natural Communities and Terrestrial Species and Climate Change Approach and Implications for Aquatic Species, 5.E: Habitat Restoration, and 5.G: Fish Life Cycle Models were not complete in time for the review. "

"... the Panel universally believes Chapter 5: Effects Analysis fails to achieve the fully integrated assessment that is needed to draw conclusions about such a momentous Plan. By missing or obscuring key concepts and specifics, it falls short of presenting an analytical framework for a compelling and rigorous analysis of whether and how the BDCP would achieve its biological and other objectives."

Erin Foresman
Environmental Scientist & Policy Coordinator,
US EPA Region 9 C/O National Marine Fisheries Service
650 Capitol Mall Suite 5-100, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 930 3722

<http://www.epa.gov/sfbaydelta>

I work a part time schedule (M 7:30a - 4:00p, T - F 7:30 - 2:00p)

----- Forwarded by Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US on 06/18/2012 08:56 AM -----

From: "Delta Stewardship Council Delta Science Program" <lwalker@deltacouncil.ca.gov>
To: Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA,
Date: 06/14/2012 12:01 PM
Subject: Panel Releases Review of Draft BDCP Effects Analysis Phase 2
Sent by: "Delta Stewardship Council Delta Science Program" <lwalker@deltacouncil.ca.gov@cmail1.com>

Panel Releases Report on Draft BDCP Effects Analysis Phase 2 Review

Thursday 14 June

Review Panel Releases Report on Draft BDCP Effects Analysis

An Independent Science Review Panel has released its report on a partial review of the Phase 2 draft Bay Delta Conservation Plan (BDCP) Effects Analysis conducted April 30-May 1, 2012.

The goal of the Phase 2 review was to provide the BDCP participants with an assessment of the scientific quality of BDCP Chapter 5 to inform the further development of the Effects Analysis. Phase 1 of the review was completed in November 2011. For more information, [click here](#).

Having trouble reading this? [View it in your browser](#). Not interested? [Unsubscribe instantly](#).